



Environmental Division
NEPA Programs

Programmatic Categorical Exclusion (PCE)

Project Information			
Project Name:	ROANOKE ST/DEPOT ST PEDESTRIAN ACCOMMODATIONS - CBURG	Federal Project#:	TAP-5150(214)
Project Number:	EN22-154-267, N501, P101	Project Type:	Construction
UPC:	122102	Charge Number:	UPC 122102; ACT(600) SERIES
Project Number(Assoc)(UPC):			
Route Number:	0	Route Type:	N/A
Project Limit--From:	Depot St	To:	400 Ft East of Depot St
IPM Project Description:	ROANOKE ST/DEPOT ST PEDESTRIAN ACCOMMODATIONS - CBURG		
Additional Project Description:	The project includes installation of pedestrian activated crosswalk signals for the crossing of Depot Street (minor arterial) on the pedestrian route from a residential area to other residential areas and shops. The project will include the installation of five accessible curb ramps and sidewalk with the addition of three new crosswalks and installation of pedestrian signals at the crosswalks. Sidewalk will also be extended from the easternmost accessible curb ramp to the existing sidewalk on the adjacent property to the east.		
Purpose And Need:	The purpose of this project is to enhance and create a more walkable downtown neighborhood with interconnected sidewalks, streets and blocks.		
District:	City/County:	Residency:	
Salem	Christiansburg	Christiansburg	

The subject project meets the criteria for a Programmatic Categorical Exclusion in accordance with:

23 CFR 771.117

Description of PCE Category:

Construction of bicycle and pedestrian lanes, paths, and facilities.

PCE c03

UNUSUAL CIRCUMSTANCES:

1. The proposed action will not result in significant environmental impacts.

Finding: *Review of the below anticipated impacts.*

Notes:

2. The proposed action will not result in substantial controversy on environmental grounds.

Finding: *Review of the below anticipated impacts.*

Notes:

3. The proposed action will not result in significant impact on properties protected by Section 4(f) of the Department of Transportation Act or Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act.

Finding: *Review of the below anticipated impacts.*

Notes:

4. The project sponsor confirms that proposed action will not result in any inconsistencies with any Federal, State, or local law, requirement or administrative determination relating to the environmental aspects of the action.

IMPACTS:

5. The proposed action will not involve acquisition of more than minor amounts of temporary or permanent right of way.

Finding: *Review of project plans.*

Notes:

6. The proposed action will not involve residential or non-residential displacements.

Finding: *Review of scope indicates no displacements are required.*

Notes:

7. The proposed action will not result in capacity expansion of a roadway by addition of through lanes.

Finding: *Review of project scope indicates there will be no new lanes carrying traffic for 2500 feet or more.*

Notes:

8. The proposed action does not involve the construction of temporary access, or the closure of an existing road, bridge, or ramps that would result in major traffic disruptions based on the context and intensity of the impact.

Finding: *The project will not result in any road closures or detours constituting a major traffic disruption.*

Notes:

9. The proposed action does not result in a determination of adverse effect on historic properties pursuant to Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act (54 U.S.C. §306108).

Finding: *Coordination with DHR resulted in a No Adverse Effect Determination.*

Date of

Finding: *06/20/2024*

Notes:

10. The proposed action does not require the use of properties protected by Section 4(f) (49 U.S.C. § 303/23 U.S.C. § 138) that cannot be documented with an FHWA de minimis determination, or a programmatic Section 4(f) evaluation signed by FHWA.

Finding: *FHWA made a de minimis impact finding.*

Date of

Finding: *07/08/2024*

Notes:

11. The proposed action does not require the acquisition of lands under the protection of Section 6(f) of the Land and Water Conservation Act of 1965 (54 U.S.C. § 200305) or other unique areas or special lands that were acquired in fee or easement with federal public-use-money and have deed restrictions or covenants on the property.

Finding: *Based on documented review of available mapping and databases, there will be no acquisition of lands protected by Section 6(f) or other unique areas or special lands.*

Notes:

12. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Section 404 (33 U.S.C. § 1344) permit other than a Nationwide or a General Permit.

Finding: *The project will not require a water quality permit based on the documentation provided.*

Date of

Finding: 05/23/2023

Notes:

13. The proposed action does not require a U.S. Coast Guard bridge permit (33 U.S.C. § 401).

Finding: *The project does not involve work on bridges over navigable waters necessitating a USCG permit.*

Notes:

14. The proposed action does not require work that will cause an increase of the flood level by more than one foot within a regulatory floodway of water courses or water bodies or work affecting the base floodplain (100-year flood) elevations of a water course or lake, pursuant to 23 CFR §650 subpart A.

Finding: *Other; brief narrative provided in notes.*

Notes: *Per the PM, the work is in the existing right of way and requires limited grading in one location therefore, this will not cause a flood level or floodplain increase of more than one foot.*

15. The proposed action is not defined as a "Type I project" per 23 CFR §772.5 and the VDOT noise manual for purposes of a noise analysis.

Finding: *(VDOT ONLY) VDOT noise manual.*

Notes:

16. The proposed action is not likely to adversely affect federally listed species or designated critical habitat, with the exception of a "may affect, likely to adversely affect" (MALAA) determination for a species with a Section 7 programmatic biological opinion.

Finding: *The project is Not Likely to Adversely Affect federally listed species or designated critical habitat.*

Date of

Finding: 06/18/2024

Notes:

17. The proposed action does not involve any known or potential hazardous materials issues that represent a substantial liability or require substantial regulatory negotiation to resolve.

Finding: *(VDOT ONLY) Hazardous Materials Summary Report.*

Notes:

18. The proposed action is fiscally constrained and meets the provisions of the "Planning Documents and NEPA Approvals" document in accordance with 23 CFR §450.

Finding: *This project is included in a STIP Grouping.*

Notes:

19. The proposed action will not cause disproportionately high and adverse effects on any minority or low-income populations.

Finding: *The project does not involve displacements, changes in access, major traffic disruptions, more than minor amounts of right of way acquisition, community disruptions, or disruption of emergency services.*

Notes:

20. The project sponsor confirms the project does not involve consideration of multiple NEPA alternatives and is not listed in 23 CFR §771.115(a).

Simpson, Robin C

07/08/2024

Environmental Manager, CE Determination

Date